	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	KOBAYASHI ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Stefan Koschmieder</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2004</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference ☐ Yes ☐ Y	Applicant's representative) o		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	,		
, ,,			
Claims discussed: 10-11			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:	
The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorize ensure that the claim language of dependent claims 10 a	ed by Mr. Koschmieder in order to and 11 is consistent with claim 1 o	o more clearly define the claims and to on which each claim depends.	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguine directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguind not result in resolution of all issues. A brief seguine in the necessary for applicant to provide a seguine in the necessary for applicant to the necessary for applicant to the necessary for	ion. The examiner will provide ト なるかと、 parate record of the substanc	e a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	е
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Represent	tative Signature – if appropriate)	